

# FLAMMABILITY TEST REPORT

**Company Name & Address:** RIF AMETIST

140730 RUSSIAN FEDERATION

MOSCOW REGION

**ROSHAL** 

2-Y PYATILETKI ST.

Contact Name: SERGEY SUSHKOV

**Sample Details** 

Order No.: Not stated

Description: Polyurethane foam

End Use: Furniture
Number of Samples: Not stated
Fibre Content: Not stated
Retailer: General
Specification No.: Not stated

Sample Description: White coloured polyurethane foam

Test Method	Pre Treatment	Flammability Performance Requirements	Result
BS 5852: Part 2: 1982, Ignition source 5 (Crib 5) as modified by Schedule 1 Part 1 of the Furniture & Furnishings (Fire) (Safety) Regulations 1988 (As Amended).	None	As Schedule 1 Part 1 (Ignition test for polyurethane foam in slab or cushion form) of The Furniture and Furnishings (fire) (safety) Regulations 1988 (as amended).	Complies

STEVEN OWEN
(Technical & Operational
Excellence Manager)

ANDREW HALLETT (Flammability Team Leader)

CAROLE SPOWART (Flammability Technician)

GREGORY JAMES
(Flammability Technician)

Report No.: LEI21120215A Page 1 of 3









Tel +44 1942 265 700 consumergoods.uk@intertek.com intertek.com



# FLAMMABILITY TEST REPORT

**Filling Specification** 

Filling Type: Polyurethane Foam
Density / Hardness: Not stated / Not stated

Cover Fabric: Standard test fabric as detailed in Schedule 1 Part 1 of The Furniture (Fire) (Safety)

Regulations 1988 (as amended).

### **Uncertainty of Measurement**

The uncertainty of measurement has been estimated to be 5.99%

Conditioning

Prior to Testing: At least 72 hours in ambient indoor conditions, then at least 16 hours in an atmosphere having a

temperature of 20±5°C and a relative humidity of 50±20%

At Time of Testing: Temperature between 15°C & 30°C. Relative humidity between 20% & 70%

#### **Test Results**

"The following test results relate only to the ignitability of the combination of upholstery composites under the particular conditions of test; they are not intended as a means of assessing the fully potential fire hazard of the materials in use."

Pass / Fail Criteria	Initial test		Repeat test	
Progressive smouldering failure				
Externally detectable amounts of smoke, heat or glowing 60 min after crib ignition	No		No	
Escalating smouldering behaviour rendered the test unsafe to continue and required forcible extinction	No		No	
Smouldering essentially consumed the test specimen within the duration of the test	No		No	
Flaming failure				
The test specimen continued to flame for more than 10 minutes after the ignition of the crib	No		No	
calating combustion behaviour rendered the test unsafe to continue and uired forcible extinction No		No		
Flaming essentially consumed the test specimen within the duration of the test	No		No	
Final examination				
Progressive smouldering was observed when the sample was dismantled	No		No	
Comments				
Time to extinction of flames after crib ignition	3 Minutes 14 Seconds		3 Minutes 34 Seconds	
Time to extinction of glowing after crib ignition	Due to the position of the crib within the test specimen it was not possible to see when glowing ceased		Due to the position of the crib within the test specimen it was not possible to see when glowing ceased	
Time to extinction of smoke after crib ignition	Due to the amount of smoke in the test enclosure it was not possible to see when smoking ceased		Due to the amount of smoke in the test enclosure it was not possible to see when smoking ceased	
Maximum extent of damage to back (mm) Length / Width	400	125	400	118
Maximum extent of damage to base (mm) Length / Width	115	153	105	155
The resultant mass loss exceeded 60g	No (32g)		No (27g)	
Test Result	PASS		PASS	

#### Conclusions

The sample tested meets the requirements of Schedule 1 Part 1 (Ignition test for polyurethane foam in slab or cushion form) of The Furniture and Furnishings (fire) (safety) Regulations 1988 (as amended). **PASS.** 









Tel +44 1942 265 700 consumergoods.uk@intertek.com intertek.com



### FLAMMABILITY TEST REPORT

The client acknowledges and agrees that any services provided and/or reports produced by Intertek are done so within the limits of the scope of work agreed pursuant to the client's specific instructions. This report relates specifically to the sample(s) tested that were drawn and delivered by the client or their nominated third party. Intertek does not make any representation or warranty for any bulk samples or certify the bulk samples received from the client. Furthermore, Intertek does not provide a warranty or verification on the sample(s) representing any specific goods, material and/or shipment and only relate to the sample(s) as received and tested. Intertek have aimed to conduct the review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or wilful misconduct. In no event, will the contents of any reports or any extracts, excerpts or parts of any reports be distributed or published without the prior written consent of Intertek in each instance. Only the client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report (and then only in its entirety). Any such third parties to whom this report may be circulated rely on the content of the report solely at their own risk.

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor of k=2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95 %. Unless otherwise specified all compliance and pass/fail statements are binary simple acceptance based on the tolerance interval and, with the exception of graded methods, a test uncertainty ratio greater (TUR) than 4:1. For graded methods the TUR will drop to as low as 0.5:1 when the tolerance limits are within a grade division of the upper scale limit. The Uncertainty budgets are stated for each Test method, these are for reference, and should be considered when results are on or close to Specification Limits / Requirements and in such cases it should be noted that the risk of false acceptance or rejection may be as high as 50%, for further information please refer to ILAC G8.

Report No.: LEI21120215A Page 3 of 3





